



Deforestation Evolution in the Amazon Floodplain

Vivian Fróes Renó

Evlyn Novo Chieno Suemitsu Thiago Silva

National Institute for Space Research (INPE), Brazil

9th Intecol June 5, 2012

Introduction

Floodplain forest



Fishery production





Aquatic system biodiversity





Water quality



Defores

Riparian population health



Water circulation

Introduction

Differences in vegetation cover

Vegetation map of the Amazon Floodplain (1996)



Mapping of wetlands vegetation and inundation at high-water stage (May – June 1996). Source: Hess et all. (2003)

HESS, L. L.; MELACK, J. M.; NOVO, E. M. L. M.; BARBOSA, C. C.; GASTIL, M. Dual-season mapping of wetland inundation and vegetation. **Remote Sensing of Environment**, v. 87, p. 404 - 428, 2003.

Introduction

Differences in vegetation cover

Vegetation map of the Amazon Floodplain (1996)





Geographic location Geomorphology Agriculture Cattle ranching Logging

First stage: hypothesis and objective

Hypothesis:

The difference in floodplain vegetation cover pattern between the regions upstream and downstream of Manaus is a result of human activities.

Objective:

Assess the existence and extent of deforestation in the Lower Amazon floodplain (downstream of Manaus), between the late 1970s and 2008.





Data and methods



Data and methods

Field data

Campaigns: high-water (June 2009) / receding-water (Sept. 2009)



Data and methods



Results



Results



	Area (km²)	
Classes	1970s	2008
Floodplain forest	7795	4073
Non-forest vegetation	9096	9548
Bare soil	248	600
Water surface	12691	15032
Cloud	309	887
Total area	30140	

Results





Classes	km ²	% (- water)
Deforestation	4336	27
Floodplain forest	3992	25
Non-forest veg.	6040	38
Bare soil	372	2
Water surface	14227	-
Cloud	1173	7
Total area	30140	100

Conclusions

1. About half of the Lower Amazon floodplain was still covered by forest in the late 1970s. Deforestation reduced this to about a quarter of the land area by 2008, but the process was already in course well before the 1970s.

The major phase in the expansion of agriculture was between 1950 and 1975.

2. The most affected areas were concentrated in the central portion of the Lower Amazon (Óbidos, Santarém, Alenquer and Monte Alegre).

Together with field information, these results suggest that floodplain deforestation was mainly due to agriculture and livestock activities.

3. The results support the hypothesis that the differences in floodplain vegetation cover patterns upstream and downstream from the city of Manaus are, to a large degree, a consequence of anthropogenic factors.

However, a more complete characterization of the floodplain cover changes is needed, especially where agriculture and livestock activities play an important economic role.

In order to accomplish this task, larger time series data (7-8 dates), covering other locations of the Amazon floodplain forest are being integrated into this research for further analysis.

Second stage: hypothesis and objective

Hypothesis:

The process of forest cover change in the Amazon floodplain is not continuous in space and time, as it is subject to the successive economic cycles and occupation history of each region.

Objective:

Access the spatial and temporal patterns of forest cover changes in different regions along the Amazon floodplain using multi-temporal Landsat image classifications.

Study Area



- 1. Belém
- 2. Xingu
- 3. Santarém
- 4. Óbidos

- 5. Madeira
- 6. RDS Piagaçu
- 7. Badajós
- 8. RDS Mamirauá
- 9. Tabatinga

Same methodology

Landsat time series

Each 5 years since 1973

Preliminary results: Belém



Years

Preliminary results: Belém



- Deforestation (1984)
- Deforestation (1989)
- Deforestation (1994)
- Deforestation (1999)
- Deforestation (2004)
- Deforestation (2008)
- Water surface (1973 2008)
- □ Others



Years

Next Steps

- Mapping the other areas
- Evaluate landscape evolution (landscape metrics)
- Integration with floristic information (inventories)
- Integration with social information (interviews)

How different patterns of forest cover change affect the integrity of forest ecosystems and the provision of ecosystems goods and services to the local communities?

